

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
**09/857,179**

Applicant(s)

**SOMA**

Examiner

**James L. Grun, Ph.D.**

Art Unit

**1641**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James L. Grun, Ph.D., USPTO

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Thomas Barnes, Ph.D., Appl. Rep., Reg. No. 52,595

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 18 Nov 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed:

HONDA et al., SOMA

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The rejections set forth in the first Office action were discussed. Applicant proposes to obviate 35 USC 112, 1st para., rejections by providing the proper assurances for the deposit of hybridoma. Applicant proposes to amend the claims to obviate 112, 2nd para., and 101 issues. A declaration or multiple declarations may be submitted in an attempt to overcome the 35 USC 102(f) issue in the application. Arguments will be presented in applicant's response regarding the teachings of the SOMA reference and that of the instant application regarding antibody specificity and cross-reactivity.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

**JAMES L. GRUN, PH.D.  
PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1641**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required